

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/026,486	12/24/2001	Ken Igarashi	15689.94	9280
7590 04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
ADRIAN J. LEE			BATES, KEVIN T	
WORKMAN, NYDEGGER & SEELEY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1000 Eagle Gate Tower 60 East South Temple			2155	
Salt Lake City, UT 84111			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/026,486	IGARASHI, KEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Kevin Bates	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be stated by the office later than three months after the maximum states.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be stated by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be stated by the office later than three months after the maximum statutory period for reply will be stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three months after the maximum stated by the office later than three mo	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) To This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	his action is non-final.	• •	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

### Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response a communication received on March 9, 2006.

The Power of Attorney was received December 20, 2005.

Claims 1-8 are pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haas (5566225) (Applicants IDS).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 5, and 7, Haas teaches a proxy service control method for executing a service on a proxy server control apparatus connected between a user's terminal and a server (Column 5, lines 26 – 31) on behalf of an application in said user's terminal (Column 5, lines 26 – 31), said service being provided on a session get between said application and said server (Column 4, lines 24 – 27), the method being characterized by comprising:

a storage step of storing session information on said session in response to said user's request (Column 5, lines 34 – 39, where the base station contains information of state of the session and the necessary information to emulate the connection);

a monitor step of monitoring whether or not said session is being continued between said application and said server (Column 5, lines 21 - 25));

Art Unit: 2155

a proxy step of <u>reproducing</u> a session said server and said proxy service control apparatus <u>to execute said service</u> on behalf of said application on the basis of said session information stored in said storage step if it is determined in said monitor step that said session has been interrupted (Column 5, lines 26 – 31); and

a transfer step of transferring service results received in said proxy step, from said proxy service control apparatus to said application (Column 6, lines 47 – 50).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haas in view of Jamtgaard (6430624).

Regarding claims 2, 4, 6, and 8, Dowling teaches the proxy service control method as claimed in claim 1, 3, 5, and 7.

Haas does not explicitly indicate that the system comprises a detection step of detecting an ability of said application to receive said service and a conversion step of converting said service results received in said proxy step, into a different reception form on the basis of said ability detected in the detection step and in that said transfer step transfers said service in said reception form converted in said conversion step.

Jamtgaard teaches a proxy system that acts as a conversion system between a server and a user device (Column 2, lines 54 – 59). Jamtgaard's system discloses a

Art Unit: 2155

detection step of detecting an ability of said application to receive said service and a conversion step of converting said service results received in said proxy step, into a different reception form on the basis of said ability detected in the detection step and in that said transfer step transfers said service in said reception form converted in said conversion step (Column 2, line 60 – Column 3, line 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Jamtgaard's formation conversion system in Dowling's proxy server in order to allow one content server to provide content for any combination of devices, protocols, and mark up languages (Column 1, line 60 – Column 2, line 3).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 9, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the reference, Haas, does not disclose reproducing the user session and executing the service on behalf of the application. The examiner disagrees, Haas discloses the system where the proxy service emulates the user application for the host application on behalf of the client (Column 48 – 52), which is the same as reproducing the connection that was between the end-user and the host application, which is now between the agent and the host user, thus this also entails emulating the current behavior of the client on the system to maintain the session, this would also include maintaining the service connection of the application which can be considered executing the service, since the service is maintained all awhile the host

Application/Control Number: 10/026,486 Page 5

Art Unit: 2155

application does not know that the client has been disconnected (Column 5, lines 26 – 31).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,486

Art Unit: 2155

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KB

KB April 17, 2006

BALEH NAJJAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER